Rúbrica: RAI # 3 - Ability to communicate in English

	Learning Level			
Performance Criteria	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Satisfactory	Exemplary
1. Oral and written comprehension of academic discourse.	•Text comprehension is inefficient. •The identification of the components of the structure and discrimination of the context are wrong. •Lack of academic attitude.	Understands tacademic text insufficiently. Identifies some components of the structure without discriminating the context properly. Academic attitude in training.	Understand the academic text sufficiently. Fully identifies the underlying structure in a given context. Differenciates completely knowledge information. Has academic prosumer attitude.	Understands the academic text in profound ways. Applies strategies for discriminating the underlying structure in a given context. Differentiates knowledge information. Shows a strong academic profile.
2. Organization (Planning of communication structure. Order and relevance of ideas)	Communication lacks coherent structure. Organization of ideas without subject or logical relationship.	In some segments, the structure of communication is ambiguous, messy. Some ideas are organized illogically and lack unity.	Communication presents a coherent structure. The ideas are related to logical unity and relevance.	Communication is structured and coherent, showing unity, logical order and relevance in ideas, they are also properly hierarchized. Presents antecedents and consequences of the act.
3. Argumentation (Defense of ideas. Reliable and relevant sources)	Lack of arguments that support ideas. Lack of reliable and relevant bibliographic sources The quality of responses is zero.	The argumentation is occasionally insubstantial. It has insufficient reliable sources, some decontextualized. The quality of the answers are unsatisfactory.	Argumentation is sufficient and substantially. Works with sufficient reliable and relevant context sources. The quality of the answers is satisfactory.	Evidence a consolidated argumentative process, relevant and compelling that sustains sufficient reliable and updated sources. Solid and sufficient responses.
4. Lexicon (Contextualized vocabulary) precise, formal, academic)	Message is incomprehensible. Lexis is not according to the context. Limited vocabulary, casual, colloquial, vague language. Poor spelling. Construction of ideas lacks semantic and syntactic agreement.	Message somewhat understandable. Partially vocabulary appropriate to the context. Occasionally the language is vague and informal. Spelling improvable. Sometimes lack of evidence in semantic and syntactic agreement.	The message is understandable. Lexis is appropriate to the context. Academic and formal language. Applies a satisfactory spelling. Applies rules of semantic and syntactic.	The message is clearly understood. Lexis is appropriate to the context. Language is highly academic and scientific. Presents a spelling mastering. Meets semantic and syntactic rules correctly.
5. Verbal Communication (Diction, volume, intensity, intonation, active listening transmitter / receiver)	Verbal communication is ineffective. In its role as receiver, evidences misunderstanding of the message.	Verbal communication is limited. Limited understanding of the message in role as receiver.	Verbal communication is efficient. Effective understanding of the message in role as receiver.	Verbal communication captures the interest of the audience. In receiver role, shows interest, enthusiasm and effective understanding of the message.
6. Nonverbal Communication Body Language	In emitter role, there are evidences poor nonverbal communication. The body language does not provide support for oral speech.	In emitter role in communications, s/he uses inadequate body language.	•In emitter role, body language is pertinent and contextualized.	In emitter role, body language is appropriate, attractive and according to the context.